



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/576,642 | 04/21/2006 | Martin Terence Cole | 2007P11313WOUS | 2388 |

24131 7590 06/25/2009
LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP
P O BOX 2480
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

STAFIRA, MICHAEL PATRICK

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2886

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

06/25/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|---|---|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/576,642 | Applicant(s) COLE, MARTIN TERENCE | |
| | Examiner /Michael P. Stafira/ | Art Unit 2886 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael P. Stafira. (3) ____.

(2) Mark P. Weichselbaum. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 15 and 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Curry et al. ('939); Booth et al. ('445); WO 01/59737 A1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed content of amendment filed after final rejection and that examiner's advisory action is vacated because examiner missed some comments made in applicant's arguments/remarks. Therefore, examiner indicated that prosecution on the case will reopen with an examiner's non-final rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|--|------------------------------------|
| | /Michael P. Stafira/ 06/22/2009 |
|--|------------------------------------|